



1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
2	MIKE GLEASON Chairman	200 01 -1 P 1: 15
3 4	WILLIAM MUNDELL Commissioner	AND THE CONTROL
5	JEFF HATCH-MILLER Commissioner	Arizona Commission  DOCKETED
6 7	KRISTIN MAYES Commissioner	CDF-57 2008
8	GARY PIERCE Commissioner	Edward of MV
9	Commissioner	
10		DOCKETANO DE COCCOLLOS CLOS
11	IN THE MATTER OF THE REVIEW AND POSSIBLE REVISION OF ARIZONA UNIVERSAL SERVICE,	) DOCKET NO. RT-00000H-97-0137 )
12	FUND RULES ARTICLE 12 OF THE ARIZONA ADMINSTRATIVE	
13	CODE.	
14	IN THE MATTER OF THE	) DOCKET NO. T-00000D-00-0672
15	INVESTIGATION OF THE COST OF TELECOMMUNICATIONSACCESS.	}
16	TELECOMMONICATION OF COLUMN	
17		<i>)</i>
18	<u>VERIZON'S LIST OF ISSUES</u>	
19	Verizon California, Verizon Business Services, Verizon Long Distance, and	
20	Verizon Wireless (collectively, "Verizon") file this List of Issues and Procedural Recommendations in accord with the Commission's Procedural Order dated August 20,	
21		
22		
23	2008.	
24		
25		



Verizon understands that all parties have agreed to a list of ten issues. These issues, and Verizon's position on each, are set forth below (Verizon's positions are explained more fully in Verizon's previously filed comments in this docket)

1. Which carriers' access rates should be the subject of this proceeding? Rural ILECs only? CLECs too?

All carriers' access rates should be addressed in this proceeding, including CLEC rates.

2. What access rate level and structure should be targeted? Interstate? Qwest's current intrastate access rate level? Elimination of the CCL?

As a starting point for access reform in Arizona, all carriers rates should be reduced to Qwest's current intrastate levels, and all CCL charges should be eliminated.

3. How much of access cost recovery, if any, should be shifted to end users? What showing should be required for such a shift? What should be the role of "benchmark" rates, and how should benchmarks be set?

Most, if not all, access cost recovery should be shifted to end users. As Verizon explained in previous comments, the Commission should allow carriers to propose a retail rate design plan that would make up for lost access charge revenues. Any change in rates should be made simultaneously with access charge reductions, and, if necessary, could be phased-in over a period of time.

Verizon is willing to consider shifting some access cost recovery to the AUSF; provided, however, that any resulting AUSF remains relatively small and is "capped" to prevent future increases. With this approach, the Commission would adopt either a single benchmark rate for all carriers or several benchmark rates. Carriers would "migrate" their current basic service rates to these benchmark rates over a period of time.



The starting or minimum benchmark rate should at least be equal Qwest's current basic service rate, which is approximately \$15.00 per month.

4. How much of access cost recovery, if any, should be shifted to the AUSF? What showing should be required for such a shift?

See response to Question 3.

5. How long should a transition period be, if any?

The Commission need not have a single transition period for all carriers. Some carriers may be able to transition to the maximum benchmark rate immediately; others may require two or three years.

6. Which carriers should be eligible for AUSF support?

AUSF funding should be available to any carrier that can provide the basic local services identified by the Commission. However, the Commission should restrict disbursements from the AUSF to one carrier per geographic area. Competing carriers can still offer service, but only one carrier would be subsidized for accepting the obligation to provide service.

7. What should be supported by the AUSF? Access replacement only? High cost loops? Line extensions? Centralized administration and automatic enrollment for Lifeline and Link-Up?

The AUSF should support only <u>very limited</u>, if any, access replacement. As Verizon explained in its earlier comments, the AUSF should not fund line extensions, and there is no evidence that centralized administration or automatic enrollment for Lifeline and Link-Up is necessary to promote universal service.



8. What should be the basis of AUSF contributions and what should be the structure of any AUSF surcharge(s)?

At this time, Verizon does not object to some parties' proposals to base AUSF contributions on intrastate telecommunications revenues and to collect contributions via an end-user surcharge. If, however, the FCC adopts a numbers-based methodology, the AUSF should adopt it.

9. Other substantive issues?

N/A

## 10. How is the best way to proceed resolving the foregoing issues?

The Commission should require testimony and a hearing on all contested issues. The parties have been engaged in settlement discussions over the past several months, but have not yet reached agreement on any issue. If they reach a settlement before testimony is due or the hearing is held, they can submit it to the Commission and seek changes to the schedule.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 7th day of October, 2008.

LEWIS AND ROCA

Thomas H. Campbell Michael T. Hallam 40 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Attorneys for Verizon



	LAWYERS
1	ORIGINAL and fifteen (15) copies of the foregoing filed this 7th day of
2	October, 2008, with:
3	Arizona Corporation Commission Docket Control – Utilities Division
4	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	,
6	COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered or sent by email this 7th day of October, 2008, to:
7	Jane L. Rodda Administrative Law Judge
8	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007
10	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division
11	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
13	Mr. Ernest Johnson, Director Utilities Division
14	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
15	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16	Dan Pozefsky, Chief Counsel Residential Utility Consumer Office
17	1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix, AZ 85007
18	Dpozefsky@azruco.gov
19	Norm Curtright
20	Qwest Corporation 20 East Thomas Road, 16th Floor
21	Phoenix, Arizona 850 12
22	Reed Peterson Qwest Corporation
23	20 East Thomas Road 16th Floor
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
25	
26	

1979539.1



1	Michael W. Patten Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC
2	One Arizona Center Phoenix, AZ 85004
3	mpatten@rdp-law.com *
4	Craig Marks Craig A Morks DI C
5	Craig A. Marks, PLC 10645 N. Tatum Blvd.
6	Suite 200-676 Phoenix, AZ 85028
7	Craig.Marks@azbar.org
8	Michael M. Grant Gallager & Kennedy 2575 East Camelback Road
9	Phoenix, AZ 85016
10	mmg@gknet.com*
11	Isabelle Salgado AT&T Nevada
12	645 E. Plumb Lane, B 132 PO Box 11010
13	Reno, NV 89520 dan.foley@att.com*
14	gc1831@att.com*
15	William A. Haas Deputy General Counsel
16	McLeodUSA Telecommunciations Services, Inc. 6400 C. Street SW
17	Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406 Bill.Haas@mcleodusa.com *
18	Chris Rossie President, Local 7019
19	Communication Workers of America 11070 North 24th Avenue
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85029
21	Greg L. Rogers
22	Senior Corporate Counsel Level 3 Communications, LLC
23	1025 Eldorado Boulevard Broomfield, Colorado 80021
24	Joan S. Burke
25	Osborn Maledon, PA 2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2 100
26	Phoenix, AZ 85012 jburke@omlaw.com*



ļ	
1	
2	Lyndall Nipps   Vice President, Regulatory
3	Time Warner Telcom 845 Camino Sur
4	Palm Springs, CA 92262 Lyndall.Nipps@twtelecom.com*
5	Rex Knowles
İ	Executive Director - Regulatory
6	XO Communications Suite 1000
7	11 1 E. Broadway   Salt Lake City, UT 84111
8	Rex.knowles@xo.com*
9	Dennis D. Ahlers Associate General Counsel
10	Integra Telecom, Inc.
11	730 Second Avenue, Suite 900 Minneapolis, MN 55402
12	ddahlers@eschelon.com
13	Charles H. Carrathers, III General Counsel, South Central Region
14	Verizon, Inc. HQE03H52
15	600 Hidden Ridge Irving, Texas 750 15-2092
	chuck.carrathers@verizon.com*
16	Arizona Dialtone, Inc.
17	Thomas W. Bade, President 717 W. Oakland St.
18	Chandler, Arizona 85226 Tombade@arizonadialtone.com*
19	
20	Orbitcorn, Inc. Brad VanLeur, President
21	1701 N. Louise Ave.   Sioux Falls, SD 57107
22	bvanleur@svtv.com
23	Arizona Payphone Association c/o Gary Joseph
24	Sharenet Communications 4633 West Polk Street
	Phoenix, Arizona 85043
25	garyj@nationalbrands.com*



Karen E. Nally Moyes, Sellers & Sims, Ltd. 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100 Phoenix, AZ 85044 kenally@lawms.com Nathan Glazier Regional Manager Alltel Communications, Inc. 4805 E. Thistle Landing Dr. Phoenix, Arizona 85044 Nathan.glazier@alltel.com\* Mark A. DiNunzio Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC 1550 West Deer Valley Road Phoenix, AZ 85027 MS DV3-16, Bldg C mark.dinunzio@cox.com\*